

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/656,645 09/05/2003 Roland Sacks SMB-PT084 8110 3624 08/23/2005 **EXAMINER** 7590 VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C. DEVORE, PETER T UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103 3751

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			•			
		Application No.		Applicant(s)		
		10/656,645		SACKS, ROLAND		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		Peter T. deVore		3751		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati or Reply	ion appears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress	
A SHO THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howen the control of the control	ever, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.	
Status						
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 23 June 2005.				
•	_	☑ This action is non-fina				
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□						
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Ex The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) obj to the drawing(s) be held correction is required if the	in abeyance. See e drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment	• •	" □	Intension Comments	(BTO 412)		
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-s nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date	948) /SB/08) 5) 🔲	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Po Other:		O-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/656,645

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-12, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 contains recitations which are contradictory. In line 8, the claim reads "a U-shaped profile defining a channel"; but in lines 9-10, the claim reads "the U-shaped profile having a portion that projects inwardly into the channel". The line 8 recitation implies that the "channel" is a sub-element of the "U-shaped profile", but the lines 9-10 recitation implies that the "channel" and the "U-shaped profile" do not overlap. This inconsistency renders the claim indefinite. In addition, in lines 1-4, the claim reads "A cleaning device comprising... a fluid outlet... the fluid outlet being formed by a spray strip"; but in lines 5-6 the claim reads "the spray strip (3) is removably fastened to the cleaning device". The lines 1-4 recitation implies that the "spray strip" is a sub-element of the "cleaning device", but the lines 5-6 recitation implies that the "spray strip" and the "cleaning device" do not overlap. This inconsistency also renders the claim indefinite.

Claim 15 contains recitations to the cleaning device and spray strip that are inconsistent in the same manner as seen in claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,645

Art Unit: 3751

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Novotny.

The Novotny reference discloses a cleaning device comprising a distributing surface (surface of brush A which spray strip I mounts on), a fluid line K, a profile strip/fluid outlet removably fastened to the brush comprising a tubular spray strip I, openings J, and a formed section/strip fastener (portion proximate reference numeral B which extends across the length of the brush and arms D), but remains silent as to the material of the profile strip. However, it would have been obvious to form the profile strip out of drawn or extruded plastic, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In Re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments regarding claim 15 filed on 6/23/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the claimed removability requires the frame B, tube I, and handle K of Novotny to be considered a "profile strip", and that this position is untenable. However, it is the Examiner's position (notwithstanding the indefiniteness of the claim language discussed supra) that only the

Application/Control Number: 10/656,645

Art Unit: 3751

Page 4

portion of the frame proximate to the brush of Novotny (as spelled out in detail supra) is required to correspond to the claimed "profile strip" for the Novotny device to read on the claim, and that this interpretation of the claim language "profile strip" is reasonable.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter T. deVore whose telephone number is (571) 272-4884. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pd PJ

JUSTINE R. YU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

8/19/05